

Rotating matter in general relativity – stationary state I.*

Mattias Marklund[†]

Department of Plasma Physics, Umeå University, S-901 87 Umeå, Sweden

Zoltán Perjés[‡]

KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics,

Budapest 114, P.O.Box 49, H-1525 Hungary

(February 7, 2008)

Abstract

Stationary rotating matter configurations in general relativity are considered. A formalism for general stationary space times is developed. Axisymmetric systems are discussed by the use of a nonholonomic and nonrigid frame in the three-space of the time-like Killing trajectories. Two symmetric and trace-free tensors are constructed. They characterize a class of matter states in which both the interior Schwarzschild and the Kerr solution are contained. Consistency relations for this class of perfect fluids are derived. Incompressible fluids characterized by these tensors are investigated, and one differentially rotating solution is found.

PACS number(s): 04.20.Cv, 04.20.Jb, 04.40.Dg, 97.60.-s

Typeset using REVTeX

*Work supported by OTKA research grant no. T17176

[†]e-mail: mattias.marklund@physics.umu.se

[‡]e-mail: perjes@rmk530.rmki.kfki.hu

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past decades, the theory of empty space-times in general relativity has been enriched by sophisticated results. Our understanding of interior metrics with matter sources is apparently lagging behind: as though time has stopped at Schwarzschild's spherically symmetric solutions. The treat of technologies available for this research shows a corresponding disparity. Spherical symmetry is a quite straightforward problem to work with. Beyond, vacuum metrics have been treated with success by coordinate, tetrad and triad approaches, as well as the inverse scattering techniques [1]. Regrettably, these approaches are less than adequate for successfully treating space-times with matter. The list of known differentially rotating fluid metrics is surprisingly short, consisting probably of nothing else but the unmatched perfect fluid of China [2], obtained by a tetrad method [3]. The list of matched rotating fluids is equally short; there is the rigidly rotating disk of dust of Meinel and Neugebauer [4] found by the inverse scattering method. The difficulty in finding rotating equilibrium solutions is present already in Newtonian theory, and general relativity should not be expected an easier field.

In this paper, we develop a new technology for stationary space-times with matter sources. In Sec. II, we assume the existence of only one Killing vector. We give Einstein's field equations with matter in a form referring to the three-manifold $\mathcal{S}$ of the Killing trajectories. In the stationary case considered here, the Killing vector is time-like and the metric g of $\mathcal{S}$ is positive definite. However, the formalism is valid independently of the signature.

In Sec. III, we consider axisymmetric systems. We construct two symmetric and traceless tensors which are related both to the Cotton-York tensor and to the Simon tensor. Conformally flat manifolds have the special property that the Cotton-York tensor vanishes. This property is not shared by some important empty space-times such as the Kerr metric. However, the Kerr metric has a vanishing Simon tensor. We have these particular situations in mind when we construct our tensors for space-times with matter sources. In doing so, we

are guided by two principles. First, we want the two tensors to be uniquely determined by the Cotton-York and the Simon tensors in the limit of some known solutions of the gravitational equations. Thus we include some new terms in the tensors which vanish in the absence of matter in the state of differential rotation.

Our second guiding principle stems from the theory of rotating matter. In Sec. IIIB, we initiate a new method which synthesizes the coordinate approach known as 'Ernst coordinates' [5] and the triad methods. The Ernst coordinate approach fails in the presence of a differential rotation of the medium for the simple reason that the Ernst potential then does not exist. The complex 1-form, represented by the differential of the Ernst coordinate in the vacuum, can still be introduced although it ceases to be exact. Thus we can build up a triad in the generic (differentially rotating) case when the 1-form and its complex conjugate are linearly independent. Hence we follow the vacuum Ernst coordinate approach by setting up a complex triad in the three space such that the directional derivatives reduce to the partial derivatives with respect to the Ernst coordinates in the limit of vanishing matter.

In Sec. IIIC we find that the coefficients of the extra terms are determined by the requirement that the complex invariant α be an analytic function of a complex variable. In Sec. IV we apply our method for rotating perfect fluids.

II. ONE TIME-LIKE KILLING VECTOR

The metric of a stationary space-time with time-like Killing vector $K = \partial/\partial t$ has the form

$$ds^2 = r(dt + \omega_i dx^i)^2 - r^{-1} d\ell^2 \quad (i, j, \dots = 1, 2, 3), \quad (2.1)$$

where $r = K \cdot K$ is the length square of the Killing vector, $\omega_i dx^i$ a 1-form, and $d\ell^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$ the metric in the 3-space $\mathcal{S}$ of Killing trajectories. The Ricci tensor may be decomposed as [6]

$$-r^{-2} R_{oo}^{(4)} = G^i_{;i} + (\bar{G}_i - G_i) G^i, \quad (2.2a)$$

$$-i\epsilon_{ijk}r^{-2}R_o^{(4)k} = G_{i;j} - G_{j;i} + G_i\bar{G}_j - \bar{G}_iG_j, \quad (2.2b)$$

$$r^{-2} \left[g_{ik}g_{j\ell}R^{(4)k\ell} - g_{ij}R_{oo}^{(4)} \right] = R_{ij} + G_i\bar{G}_j + \bar{G}_iG_j, \quad (2.2c)$$

where the subscripts o denote contractions with K . The complex 1-form G over the 3-space is defined as

$$G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{dr + ir^2 d\omega^*}{2r}, \quad (2.3)$$

with $d\omega^* = \epsilon_{ijk}\omega^{j;k}dx^i$ the dual of the exterior derivative of the 1-form $\omega_i dx^i$. The space-time is *static* when the form $\omega_i dx^i$ is exact. Furthermore in a static space-time the 1-form G is real.

The Einstein equations are

$$E_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R_{\mu\nu}^{(4)} + kT_{\mu\nu}^* = 0 \quad (\mu, \nu, \dots = 0, 1, 2, 3), \quad (2.4)$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}T$. With these, the Eqs. (2.2) take the form¹

$$G^i_{;i} = (\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{G}) - (\mathbf{G} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{G}}) + kr^{-2}T_{oo}^*, \quad (2.5a)$$

$$G_{i;j} - G_{j;i} = \bar{G}_iG_j - G_i\bar{G}_j + ikr^{-2}\epsilon_{ijk}T_o^{*k}, \quad (2.5b)$$

$$R_{ij} = -G_i\bar{G}_j - \bar{G}_iG_j - kr^{-2}(T_{ij}^* - g_{ij}T_{oo}^*), \quad (2.5c)$$

From the Bianchi identities $(R_j^i - \frac{1}{2}\delta_j^i R)_{;i} = 0$, in the 3-space, we then get

$$\left[\frac{1}{r^2} \left(T_j^{*i} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_j^i T_k^{*k} \right) \right]_{;i} + \frac{1}{2r^2}T_{oo;j}^* + i\frac{1}{r^2}\epsilon_{ijk}(G^i - \bar{G}^i)T_o^{*k} = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Eqs. (2.5) and (2.6) are valid for an arbitrary space-time admitting the Killing vector K .

An important example to be investigated in this paper is the *perfect fluid* with the energy-momentum tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\mu + p)u_\mu u_\nu - pg_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.7)$$

¹Vectors in the tangent 3-space are set in boldface. A dot notation $(\cdot)$ is used for a scalar product with respect to the 3-metric.

where p is the pressure and μ the matter density. The 4-velocity u^μ is normalized by $u^\mu u_\mu = 1$. This will be written as

$$u_o^2 - u_k u^k = r . \quad (2.8)$$

The energy-momentum tensor of the stationary perfect fluid becomes

$$T_{oo}^* = (\mu + p)u_o^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\mu - p)r, \quad (2.9a)$$

$$T_o^{*i} = (\mu + p)u_o u^i, \quad (2.9b)$$

$$T^{*ij} = (\mu + p)u^i u^j + \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}(\mu - p)r . \quad (2.9c)$$

III. AXISYMMETRY

A stationary axisymmetric space-time is defined by the following properties:

- (i) There is a space-like Killing vector $\partial/\partial\varphi$ and a time-like Killing vector $K = \partial/\partial t$ along which all quantities are Lie transported.
- (ii) The metric has the axisymmetric form

$$ds^2 = r(dt + \omega d\varphi)^2 - r^{-1}\{e^{2\lambda}[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2] + \rho^2 d\varphi^2\}, \quad (3.1)$$

and the metric functions r , ω , λ , and ρ depend on the coordinates $(x^A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (x^1, x^2)$.

We label the remaining coordinates as $t = x^0$ and $\varphi = x^3$.

A. Matter tensor

The condition for the 3-space to be conformally flat is that the Cotton-York tensor [1]

$$Y_i^{\ell \text{def}} \epsilon^{jk\ell} \left(R_{i[j;k]} - \frac{1}{4}g_{i[j}R_{;k]} \right), \quad (3.2)$$

vanishes. The Cotton-York tensor is symmetric, trace- and divergence-free:

$$Y_{[ij]} = 0, \quad Y_i^i = 0, \quad Y_i^j{}_{;j} = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

In addition, we introduce the *complex* tensor

$$S_i^\ell = \epsilon^{jk\ell} \left\{ 2g_{ij}g^{rs}G_{[k;r]G_{s]} - 2G_{k;i}G_j - ikr^{-2}\epsilon_{jk}{}^r G_{(i}T_{or)}^* \right\}, \quad (3.4)$$

which is similarly symmetric and trace-free both in vacuum and in the presence of matter:

$$S_{[ij]} = 0, \quad S_i^i = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

The tracefree property follows from the fact that G_i and $R_o^{(4)i}$ are orthogonal. In (3.4), the constant k is arbitrary, but later on k will be identified with the gravitational constant. The tensor S_i^ℓ is real in a static space-time. In vacuo, S_i^ℓ equals the Simon tensor [5,7]. Examples of space-times with a vanishing S_i^ℓ are the (vacuum) Kerr metric, the interior Schwarzschild, Kramer and Wahlquist space-times [1,8].

In all known examples of static metrics with a vanishing Simon tensor, the Simon tensor equals the Cotton-York tensor. However, as can be easily seen by inspecting the form of the Cotton-York tensor (3.2), this is the case when the energy-momentum terms separately vanish.

We construct another symmetric and trace-free tensor for stationary space-times with matter. We adopt the following procedure:

1. First we rewrite the Cotton-York tensor of a static space-time by use of the field equations (2.4) and the decomposition (2.2c) of the Ricci tensor in terms of the real 1-form G and matter variables.
2. We then complexify this tensor for a nonstatic space-time by allowing G to be complex and separate the tensor S_{ik} .
3. By adding appropriate matter terms we ensure that the complexified tensor is symmetric and trace-free.

From step 1, we get the Cotton-York tensor in the static case,

$$Y_i^\ell = \epsilon^{jkl} \left\{ 2g_{ij}g^{rs}G_{[k|r|}G_{s]} - 2G_{k;i}G_j + k(r^{-2}\Delta_{ij})_{;k} - kr^{-2}g_{ij}G_kT_{oo}^* \right\}, \quad (3.6)$$

with

$$\Delta_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T_{ij}^* - \frac{1}{4}g_{ij}T_{oo}^* - \frac{1}{4}g_{ij}T_r^{*r}. \quad (3.7)$$

After having added compensating terms to ensure that the tensor is trace-free and symmetric, there still remains a freedom of adding a symmetric and trace-free tensor which vanishes in the static limit. This latter tensor will be determined in Sec. IV. The matter tensor then reads

$$C_i^\ell = \epsilon^{jkl} \left\{ -(r^{-2}\Delta_{ij})_{;k} + r^{-2}g_{ij}(G_k + \bar{G}_k)T_{oo}^*/2 \right. \\ \left. + ir^{-2}\epsilon_{ik}{}^r(G_j - \bar{G}_j)T_{or}^* + 4ir^{-2}\epsilon_{ik}{}^r(G_{(j} - \bar{G}_{(j})T_{or}^*) \right\}. \quad (3.8)$$

B. A complex basis

From property (i), $\mathbf{L} = \partial/\partial\varphi$ is a Killing vector in the 3-space and $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{L}) = 0$. In the generic case, the triad $(\mathbf{G}, \bar{\mathbf{G}}, \mathbf{L})$ represents a proper frame basis in the tangent 3-space. However, we shall use another complex basis²

$$(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{L}). \quad (3.9)$$

The vectors $\mathbf{e}_1$ and $\mathbf{e}_2$ form a complex conjugate pair, $\mathbf{e}_2 = \bar{\mathbf{e}}_1$ and they are defined by a rotation in the $(\mathbf{G}, \bar{\mathbf{G}})$ subspace

$$\mathbf{G} = \frac{1}{2r}(\alpha\mathbf{e}_1 + \beta\mathbf{e}_2), \quad (3.10a)$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{G}} = \frac{1}{2r}(\beta\mathbf{e}_1 + \gamma\mathbf{e}_2), \quad (3.10b)$$

with

²Component indices in the basis (3.9) are set in boldface.

$$\alpha = 4r^2(\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{G}), \quad \beta = 4r^2(\mathbf{G} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{G}}), \quad \gamma = \bar{\alpha} . \quad (3.11)$$

The metric in this basis reads

$$[g^{\mathbf{ik}}] = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta & 0 \\ \beta & \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho^{-2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $\rho^2 = (\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{L})$ is the squared length of the Killing vector. Note that the basis (3.9) is neither holonomic, nor is it rigid.

The *structure functions* $c^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{jk}}$ are defined by the commutator

$$[\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}] = c^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{jk}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}} . \quad (3.13)$$

In the absence of matter, the basis (3.9) is natural and the structure functions vanish.

For the directional derivatives we use the alternative notation with a comma in the subscript. For example, we have from (2.3):

$$\mathbf{e}_1 r \equiv r_{,1} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \mathbf{e}_2 r \equiv r_{,2} = \frac{1}{2} . \quad (3.14)$$

The dual basis reads $(\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3) = (2rG, 2r\bar{G}, \rho^{-2}L)$. In this basis, G has the components

$$(G_1, G_2, G_3) = \left(\frac{1}{2r}, 0, 0\right), \quad (\bar{G}_1, \bar{G}_2, \bar{G}_3) = \left(0, \frac{1}{2r}, 0\right), \quad (3.15a)$$

$$(G^1, G^2, G^3) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2r}, \frac{\beta}{2r}, 0\right), \quad (\bar{G}^1, \bar{G}^2, \bar{G}^3) = \left(\frac{\beta}{2r}, \frac{\gamma}{2r}, 0\right) . \quad (3.15b)$$

Because we use a frame of nonconstant normalization, we need the structure functions to compute the connection coefficients. A short calculation using

$$d\theta^{\mathbf{i}} = -\frac{1}{2}c^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{jk}}\theta^{\mathbf{j}}\wedge\theta^{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (3.16)$$

and (2.5b) in natural coordinates gives the structure functions

$$c^1_{\mathbf{jk}} = -c^2_{\mathbf{jk}} = 2ikr^{-1}\epsilon_{\mathbf{jkl}}T_o^{*1}, \quad (3.17a)$$

$$c^3_{\mathbf{jk}} = 0 . \quad (3.17b)$$

There is only one independent structure function which we denote $c^{\mathbf{1}_{12}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varepsilon$. We have

$$\varepsilon = 2i \frac{k}{r} \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{D}} T_o^{*\varphi}, \quad (3.18)$$

where $D = \alpha\gamma - \beta^2$. We obtain the detailed form of Eq. (2.5a) by computing the contracted connection coefficients $\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}(\ln \rho / \sqrt{D}) + c^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}}$. With the components (3.15b) of $\mathbf{G}$, the field equation (2.5a) takes the form

$$\alpha_{,\mathbf{1}} + \beta_{,\mathbf{2}} - \frac{\alpha}{r} + (\alpha \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{1}} + \beta \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{2}}) \ln \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{D}} - 2 \frac{k}{r} T_{oo}^* + (\alpha + \beta) \varepsilon = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

Eqs. (2.5b) are now absorbed in the structure equations (3.13). Eqs. (2.5c) are given in Appendix A.

C. Fields with $S_i^\ell = 0$

The two nonvanishing components of the tensor S_i^ℓ may be written

$$U_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -4\epsilon_{ijk} L^j S^{k\ell} L_\ell, \quad (3.20)$$

where $(\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{L}) = 0$. The contents of the condition $U_{\mathbf{2}} = 0$ for vacuum is $\alpha_{,\mathbf{2}} = 0$ [5]. We may preserve the vacuum form of $U_{\mathbf{2}}$ in the presence of matter by identifying the constant k in (3.4) with the gravitational constant.

Substituting the definition (3.4) of the tensor $S^{k\ell}$ in Eq. (3.20) and using the field equations (2.5a) and (2.5b), we get

$$\begin{aligned} U_i = & -4\{-(\rho^2)_{,a} G^a G_i + \rho^2[(\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{G}) + kr^{-2} T_{oo}^*] G_i \\ & -\rho^2[(1/2)(\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{G})_{,i} + (\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{G}) \bar{G}_i] + ikr^{-2} \epsilon_{ijk} G^k T_o^{*\ell} (L_\ell L^j - \delta_\ell^j \rho^2)\} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

The last term contains a projector in the orthogonal complement of the Killing vector L , thus it vanishes. Taking the triad components, we obtain

$$U_{\mathbf{1}} = 2 \frac{\rho^2}{r^2} \left[(\alpha \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{1}} + \beta \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{2}}) \ln \rho - \frac{\alpha}{2r} + \frac{\alpha_{,\mathbf{1}}}{4} - \frac{k}{r} T_{oo}^* \right], \quad (3.22a)$$

$$U_{\mathbf{2}} = \frac{\rho^2}{2r^2} \alpha_{,\mathbf{2}}. \quad (3.22b)$$

Putting the tensor S_{ik} to zero gives, through Eq. (3.22a),

$$(\alpha \mathbf{e}_1 + \beta \mathbf{e}_2) \ln \rho - \frac{\alpha}{2r} + \frac{\alpha_{,1}}{4} - \frac{k}{r} T_{oo}^* = 0. \quad (3.23)$$

We now subtract this equation from (3.19) as to eliminate the derivatives of ρ . The equation obtained is then multiplied with β and its complex conjugate with $-\alpha$. Adding the results gives

$$4\alpha\beta_{,1} - 3\beta\alpha_{,1} + \alpha\gamma_{,2} + 2\frac{\alpha}{r}(\beta - \gamma) + 4\frac{k}{r}(\beta - \alpha)T_{oo}^* + 4D\varepsilon = 0, \quad (3.24a)$$

$$4\gamma\beta_{,2} - 3\beta\gamma_{,2} + \gamma\alpha_{,1} + 2\frac{\gamma}{r}(\beta - \alpha) + 4\frac{k}{r}(\beta - \gamma)T_{oo}^* + 4D\varepsilon = 0. \quad (3.24b)$$

We can also obtain an equation for $\rho_{,1}$, by simply multiplying (3.23) by γ and its complex conjugate by $-\beta$ and then taking the sum:

$$D(\ln \rho)_{,1} + \frac{1}{4}(\gamma\alpha_{,1} - \beta\gamma_{,2}) + \frac{\gamma}{2r}(\beta - \alpha) - \frac{k}{r}(\gamma - \beta)T_{oo}^* = 0. \quad (3.25)$$

Combining Eqs. (3.25) and (3.24a) as to eliminate the $\mathbf{e}_2$ derivatives we obtain

$$\alpha(\ln c)_{,1} = F, \quad (3.26)$$

where

$$F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (k/r)T_{oo}^* - \beta\varepsilon, \quad (3.27)$$

and the real function $c \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D^{-1/2}r^{-1}(\alpha\gamma)^{3/4}\rho$ is known to be constant in the vacuum case [5]. Notice that F does not vanish for the interior Schwarzschild space-time. Applying the commutator of the derivatives on c , we have

$$\alpha F_{,1} - \gamma F_{,2} = \varepsilon(\gamma - \alpha)F. \quad (3.28)$$

Upon multiplying (3.24b) by $-1/4$ and adding it to (3.25) we eliminate $\alpha_{,1}$. We then have

$$D(\ln \rho)_{,1} + \frac{1}{2}\beta\gamma_{,2} - \gamma\beta_{,2} - D\varepsilon = 0, \quad (3.29a)$$

$$D(\ln \rho)_{,2} + \frac{1}{2}\beta\alpha_{,1} - \alpha\beta_{,1} - D\varepsilon = 0. \quad (3.29b)$$

By applying the commutator (3.13) to the field variables, we obtain additional conditions. We eliminate the function ρ from what follows by use of Eqs. (3.29). For instance, applying (3.13) on the function β , we obtain a second order equation which we use in turn for eliminating the derivative $\gamma_{,22}$. Similarly, from Eq. (3.28), we may eliminate the derivatives $T_{oo,2}^*$. At this juncture, we find that the integrability conditions of the function ρ are satisfied identically. Following this, we use Eqs. (3.24) for the elimination of the derivatives $\beta_{,2}$ and $\gamma_{,2}$. As a result of this, all $\mathbf{e}_2$ derivatives of the variables may be expressed in terms of $\varepsilon_{,2}$, the $\mathbf{e}_1$ derivatives and the variables themselves. We may proceed to get rid of the remaining $\mathbf{e}_2$ derivative by use of the field equations (2.5c). This will enable us to obtain a system of ‘ordinary’ differential equations containing only $\mathbf{e}_1$ derivatives.

The above procedure is valid for an arbitrary medium. In the following, we develop this approach further for a perfect fluid.

IV. PERFECT FLUID

In accordance with property (i), the pressure p , matter density μ and four-velocity u^μ of an axisymmetric stationary perfect fluid depend on the two coordinates x^A . From the field equation (2.5b) it follows that the three-velocity has the form $u = u_\varphi d\varphi$, thus it is expansion-free, $u^i_{;i} = (u^\varphi)_{,\varphi} = 0$. The normalization condition (2.8) for the 4-velocity of the fluid becomes

$$u_o^2 - \rho^{-2} u_\varphi^2 = r . \quad (4.1)$$

The following relations hold for the components (2.9) of the energy-momentum tensor:

$$T_{\varphi\varphi}^* - \rho^2(T_{oo}^* - 2rp) = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$r^2 D\varepsilon^2 + k^2[2T_{oo}^* + (\mu - p)r][2T_{oo}^* - (\mu + 3p)r] = 0 . \quad (4.3)$$

The Bianchi identities (2.6) take the form

$$dp = -\frac{\mu + p}{2r^2\rho^3}[2ru_\varphi(u_o r \rho d\omega - u_\varphi d\rho) + (r\rho^2 + 2u_\varphi^2)\rho dr] . \quad (4.4)$$

Because of the structure of the field equations, there are only two nontrivial components.³ The integrability condition of p yields

$$d[u_o(\mu + p)] \wedge d[\omega - u_o/(ru^\varphi)] + (u^\varphi)^{-2} du^\varphi \wedge d\mu = 0 . \quad (4.5)$$

This generalizes *von Zeipel's theorem* in general relativity [9], according to which $u_o(\mu + p) = \text{const.}$ for a static fluid. For a rigidly rotating fluid ($du^\varphi = 0$), we have $\omega = u_o/(ru^\varphi) + \text{const.}$ Some related Hamiltonian structures have been discussed by Stephani and Grosso [10].

The matter tensor C_i^ℓ [Eq. (3.8)] is fully determined by requiring that the components of the tensor are integrable. The condition that the tensor C_i^ℓ vanishes may be integrated to yield

$$(\mu + p)r^{-2}\rho^{-1}u_\varphi^2 = \text{const.} \quad (4.6)$$

The fields with a vanishing S_i^ℓ tensor [Eq. (3.4)] merit further investigation.

A. Perfect fluids with $S_i^\ell = 0$

The equation $\alpha R_{11} - \gamma R_{22} = 0$ for the Ricci tensor components of the *perfect fluid* yields

$$\gamma\varepsilon_{,2} - \alpha\varepsilon_{,1} = \left[\left(\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2r} \right) (\alpha - \gamma) + \frac{3}{4}(\alpha_1 - \gamma_2) \right] \varepsilon, \quad (4.7)$$

which we use for eliminating $\varepsilon_{,2}$. The commutator on c thus simplifies to the form:

$$k(\gamma T_{oo,2}^* - \alpha T_{oo,1}^*) = \frac{k}{2r}(\gamma - \alpha)T_{oo}^* + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon r(\alpha\gamma_{,2} - \gamma\alpha_{,1}) . \quad (4.8)$$

The Bianchi identities (4.4) have the only independent complex triad component

³The contraction of the conservation law with the Killing vector K evaporates for axisymmetry:
 $K_\mu T^{\mu\nu}|_\nu = (K_\mu T^{\mu\nu})|_\nu = 0.$

$$p_{,1} = \frac{1}{2r} \left\{ p + \frac{1}{2k} \varepsilon (\beta - \gamma) - \frac{1}{r} T_{oo}^* + [2T_{oo}^* - (\mu + 3p)r] \frac{\rho_{,1}}{\rho} \right\} . \quad (4.9)$$

Carrying out the above substitutions in the field equation (A3d), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \alpha_{,11} - \frac{3}{4} (\alpha_{,1})^2 &= 4\alpha (2\varepsilon \beta_{,1} + \beta \varepsilon_{,1} + F_{,1}) - 2(3\beta \varepsilon + 2F) \alpha_{,1} \\ &\quad + 4F(2\beta \varepsilon + F) + 4 \frac{\alpha}{r} (\beta \varepsilon + r \gamma \varepsilon^2 + F - kp) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

We next eliminate terms quadratic in the gravitational constant, by use of Eq. (A3d), from Eqs. (A3a) and (A3b). The latter equation then takes the form

$$F_{,1} + \varepsilon F = \gamma \varepsilon^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2Dr} [k(\mu + 3p)\beta - (\alpha\gamma + \beta^2)\varepsilon - 2F\beta] . \quad (4.11)$$

Eq. (3.28) is just the imaginary part of this. We now get rid of T_{oo}^* using the definition (3.27) of F and cancel the terms linear in k , using (4.11) in (A3a). Simplifying by an overall positive factor $\mu + 3p$, we have

$$\alpha F_{,1} + (\alpha - \beta) \varepsilon F = \alpha \varepsilon (\gamma - \beta) \left(\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2r} \right) - \frac{3}{4} \beta \varepsilon \alpha_{,1} - \alpha \beta \varepsilon_{,1} . \quad (4.12)$$

The field equations (4.3), (4.9), (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12) describe a rotating perfect fluid with the tensor S_k^i vanishing. They are a set of partial differential equations for the complex variables α and $\gamma = \bar{\alpha}$ and the real variables β , p , ε , F (substituting for T_{oo}^*) and r with $r_{,1} = 1/2$. These field equations contain the only derivative operator $\mathbf{e}_1$. The right hand side of Eq. (4.10) vanishes in vacuum, and we obtain Simon's [7] *eikonal equation* in that limit. The remaining equations of the system contain only matter variables, thus they become identities in vacuum.

In the next section, we shall investigate incompressible perfect fluids with an arbitrary S_i^ℓ tensor. In Sec. IV B 2, we give an illustrative example of solving the field equations for the simple case when the function α is constant.

B. Incompressible fluid

We eliminate ρ from the Bianchi identities (4.4), using the normalization condition (4.1), to obtain

$$dp = (\mu + p) \left[u_o d\left(\frac{u_o}{r}\right) - \frac{u_o^2}{ru^\varphi} du^\varphi + \frac{du^\varphi}{u^\varphi} - u^\varphi u_o d\omega \right] . \quad (4.13)$$

In the case of an *incompressible fluid* ($d\mu = 0$), the integrability condition (4.5) takes on a simpler form. We use Eq. (4.13) for substituting $d(\mu + p)$. After expanding the terms, we get

$$d(u^\varphi u_o) \wedge d\left(\omega - \frac{u_o}{ru^\varphi}\right) = 0 . \quad (4.14)$$

Hence ω has the functional form [11]

$$\omega = \frac{u_o}{ru^\varphi} + \Psi(\chi), \quad (4.15)$$

where Ψ is some functional of $\chi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} u_o u^\varphi$. Inserting this in (4.13) gives us

$$d \ln[(\mu + p)(u^\varphi)^{-1}] + \chi d\Psi = 0 . \quad (4.16)$$

Redefining the functional by

$$\Phi(\chi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int \chi \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta \chi} d\chi, \quad (4.17)$$

enables us to integrate the pressure in an explicit form:

$$\mu + p = u^\varphi e^{-\Phi(\chi)} . \quad (4.18)$$

Thus for incompressible fluids we may express the pressure in terms of the 4-velocity components.

1. Incompressible fluid with $S_i^\ell = 0$

We now introduce the nonholonomic basis (3.9) for the subsequent discussion of the incompressible fluid. Our aim is to prove that the derivative $\alpha_{\mathbf{1}}$ is real.

We take the $\mathbf{e}_2$ derivative of the Einstein equation (4.10), and eliminate $\gamma_{\mathbf{2}}, \beta_{\mathbf{2}}, p_{\mathbf{2}}, \varepsilon_{\mathbf{2}}$, and $F_{\mathbf{2}}$ by (3.24a), (3.24b), the complex conjugate of (4.9), (4.7), and (3.28), respectively. Next we go on deriving further relations, from which we systematically eliminate $p_{\mathbf{1}}, \varepsilon_{\mathbf{1}}$,

and $F_{,1}$ by the respective use of Eqs. (4.9), (4.12) and (4.11). We obtain two first-order equations by taking first the $\mathbf{e}_1$ derivative of (4.3), and then acting with the commutator on (4.3). Acting with the commutator on β , we get a second-order equation. The resultant of this and the $\mathbf{e}_2$ derivative of Eq. (4.10) with respect to $\beta_{,12}$ may be simplified by a common factor D . Taking again the resultant of Eq. (4.10) with respect to $\alpha_{,12}$, we get the first-order equation

$$4\alpha\beta_{,1} - 3\beta\alpha_{,1} + \alpha\alpha_{,1} + 2\frac{\alpha}{r}(\beta - \gamma) + 4\frac{k}{r}(\beta - \alpha)T_{oo}^* + 4D\varepsilon = 0 . \quad (4.19)$$

Comparing with (3.24a), we obtain the reality condition

$$\alpha_{,1} = \gamma_{,2}.$$

2. Solution for constant α

As an illustrative example how to solve our field equations with $S_i^\ell = 0$, we now obtain an incompressible solution, starting with the simple assumption that the function α and its complex conjugate γ are constants.

We express the first derivatives of the function β from Eqs. (3.24). Acting with the commutator (3.13) on the condition (4.3), we get

$$\varepsilon(\alpha\gamma + \beta^2 - 4\beta^2\varepsilon r - 4\beta Fr) + (\beta - 2rF - 2\beta\varepsilon r)(2F - k\mu - 3kp) = 0 . \quad (4.20)$$

The integrability condition of β yields the algebraic relation

$$\alpha\gamma(2r\varepsilon - 1) + 2rF\beta = 0 . \quad (4.21)$$

We eliminate the function β from here by use of (4.20). The resulting algebraic equation splits in two factors. One of the factors can be shown nonvanishing. The other yields

$$-\alpha\gamma\varepsilon(4r\varepsilon - 1) + rF(4F - 2k\mu - 6kp) = 0 . \quad (4.22)$$

Similarly eliminating β between Eq. (4.21) and (3.28), we get a large polynomial expression vanishing. The expression factorizes again. Dropping the nonvanishing factors, we have

$$\alpha\gamma\varepsilon(6\varepsilon^2r^2 - 2\varepsilon r - 1) - rF(6rF\varepsilon + 4F + 4k\mu) = 0 . \quad (4.23)$$

From this we obtain

$$\beta = (2/3)k\mu(1/\varepsilon - 2r) . \quad (4.24)$$

Completing the procedure, we get the explicit form of the field variables as functionals of r :

$$\beta = -[9\alpha\gamma + (4k\mu r)^2]/(24k\mu r), \quad \varepsilon = -(4k\mu)^2r/\Lambda, \quad F = -12\alpha\gamma k\mu/\Lambda, \quad p = -\mu,$$

where $\Lambda(r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 9\alpha\gamma - (4k\mu r)^2$. The last equation shows that the pressure is a negative constant, thus this particular solution does not describe a physically acceptable fluid interior. It follows further from $\mu + p = 0$ with (4.6) that the matter tensor C_i^ℓ vanishes.

C. Integrability conditions

In this section we investigate the integrability conditions for incompressible fluid fields with a vanishing S_i^ℓ tensor [Eq. (3.4)]. From the integrability conditions of the Simon tensor and the Bianchi identities, we obtain four real algebraic equations. Our algebraic conditions are real and contain the complex function α only through its the magnitude-square $\alpha\gamma$. The equations are all quadratic in the fluid velocity function ε , thus they have the form

$$Q_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_\alpha \varepsilon^2 + b_\alpha \varepsilon + c_\alpha = 0 , \quad (4.25)$$

where $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$, or 4 . The coefficients are polynomials, up to the 7^{th} degree, in the functions β , $\alpha\gamma$ and

$$\psi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{k\mu r}{\varepsilon D} [2F + 2\varepsilon\beta - k(\mu + 3p)] . \quad (4.26)$$

For a rotating fluid, $\varepsilon \neq 0$, it follows from the algebraic constraint (4.3) that the function ψ must not identically vanish. We may express the pressure from the algebraic condition (4.3) as follows:

$$p = -\frac{D\varepsilon\psi^2 + k^2\mu^2r^2\varepsilon + 2k^2\mu^2r\psi}{2k^2\mu r\psi} . \quad (4.27)$$

The detailed form of the coefficients in (4.25) is given in Appendix C.

We now bring the system of algebraic equations to a simpler form by taking combinations of them. We form the following system of vanishing polynomial expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} A &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}Q_1, \\ B &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \beta \left[\frac{1}{r\psi} \left(\frac{Q_2}{1024m^2} - r^2Q_4 \right) - \psi Q_3 \right], \\ C &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q_3, \\ D &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{r} \left\{ 9 \left[rQ_4 + \frac{\psi}{r}Q_2 \right] - 2 \left[\frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{Q_2}{1024m^2} - r^2Q_4 \right) + \psi^2Q_3 \right] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have introduced the shorthand notation $m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k\mu$.

We may lower the degree of these polynomials in ψ by rescaling the functions α, β and γ : $\beta = b/\psi$, $\alpha\gamma = a^2/\psi^2$ where a and b are real functions. With this, the Eqs. B and C become linear and A is quadratic in ψ . Eliminating next ψ between B and C, we obtain an equation expressing the vanishing of a polynomial. The polynomial may be split in two factors, one of which however does not vanish. Thus we obtain the equation

$$\begin{aligned} &7a^6br\varepsilon + 3a^6b + 8a^6mr^3\varepsilon^2 - 13a^4b^3r\varepsilon - 6a^4b^3 - 24a^4b^2mr^3\varepsilon^2 + 4a^4b^2mr \\ &+ 16a^4bm^2r^4\varepsilon^2 - 3a^4bm^2r^3\varepsilon + 10a^4bm^2r^2 - 16a^4m^3r^5\varepsilon^2 + 8a^4m^3r^4\varepsilon \\ &+ 5a^2b^5r\varepsilon + 3a^2b^5 + 24a^2b^4mr^3\varepsilon^2 - 4a^2b^4mr - 32a^2b^3m^2r^4\varepsilon^2 - 2a^2b^3m^2r^3\varepsilon \\ &- 10a^2b^3m^2r^2 + 32a^2b^2m^3r^5\varepsilon^2 - 8a^2b^2m^3r^4\varepsilon - 4a^2b^2m^3r^3 - 16a^2bm^4r^6\varepsilon^2 \\ &+ 13a^2bm^4r^5\varepsilon - a^2bm^4r^4 + 8a^2m^5r^7\varepsilon^2 - 8a^2m^5r^6\varepsilon + b^7r\varepsilon - 8b^6mr^3\varepsilon^2 + 16b^5m^2r^4\varepsilon^2 \\ &+ 5b^5m^2r^3\varepsilon - 16b^4m^3r^5\varepsilon^2 + 16b^3m^4r^6\varepsilon^2 - 13b^3m^4r^5\varepsilon - 8b^2m^5r^7\varepsilon^2 + 7bm^6r^7\varepsilon = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

quadratic in ε . We employ this relation to make the original four equations linear in ε . We then find that some nonvanishing factors may be taken out. For example, both B and C may be divided through $d = a^2 - b^2$, and C is further divisible by $f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a^2 - b^2 - m^2r^2$. Having carried out these simplifications, we eliminate the terms containing the product $\varepsilon\psi$ between B and C. We obtain a lengthy equation, which, however can be factorized in the form

$$32qa^2b^2m^3r^4fd(9b - 2mr)(a + b - mr)^2(a - b + mr)^2 = 0, \quad (4.29)$$

where the factor q is linear both in ψ and ε :

$$\begin{aligned}
q = & -4a^6\varepsilon r - a^6 + 12a^4b^2\varepsilon r + 3a^4b^2 + 12a^4\varepsilon m^2r^3 - 16a^4m^2\psi r^2 - 7a^4m^2r^2 - 12a^2b^4\varepsilon r \\
& -3a^2b^4 - 24a^2b^2\varepsilon m^2r^3 + 32a^2b^2m^2\psi r^2 + 14a^2b^2m^2r^2 + 24a^2bm^3r^3 + 4a^2\varepsilon m^4r^5 \\
& + 32a^2m^4\psi r^4 + 37a^2m^4r^4 + 4b^6\varepsilon r + b^6 + 12b^4\varepsilon m^2r^3 - 16b^4m^2\psi r^2 - 7b^4m^2r^2 - 24b^3m^3r^3 \\
& -4b^2\varepsilon m^4r^5 - 32b^2m^4\psi r^4 - 37b^2m^4r^4 - 24bm^5r^5 - 12\varepsilon m^6r^7 - 16m^6\psi r^6 - 5m^6r^6 . \quad (4.30)
\end{aligned}$$

The Eq. D=0 may be shown to be an algebraic consequence of the other three equations.

Eq. (4.29) contains two factors of which the vanishing is not physically unreasonable in the static limit. The first alternative is that the factor $q = 0$, and the other is that $a - b + mr = 0$. With the assumption that $q = 0$, the solution of the algebraic equations is a bothersome procedure yielding that the junction surface $p = 0$ coincides with the infinite-redshift surface $r = 0$. The alternative assumption $a - b + mr = 0$ yields a simpler set of algebraic equations, the solution of which, however, does not satisfy the full set of Einstein's equations.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We hope to have demonstrated here the power of our nonholonomic approach to relativistic rotating matter. In the last section, we have been able to carry out an exhaustive treatment of incompressible perfect fluids with a vanishing complex tensor S_i^ℓ . Our investigation has lead to an unexpected conclusion: the incompressible Schwarzschild space-time is characterized with a vanishing Simon tensor. It is, however, not possible to set it in rotation while retaining the zero value of the corresponding complex tensor.

There remains the freedom of adding a symmetric and trace-free term to the complex tensor S_i^ℓ which vanishes in the static and in the vacuum limits. The only expression with these properties has the form $L_{(i}(G_k) - \bar{G}_k)T_{oo}^*$. This term, however, contributes to the component U_2 , thus in its presence the function α ceases to be analytic. We intend to investigate rotating fluids with this non-analytic property in the sequel.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful to J. Kadlecik for supplying us with a software package for noncommutative derivatives. M.M. would like to thank Z.P. and the rest of his family for their hospitality during the stay in Hungary. M.M. would also like to thank Prof. Lennart Stenflo at the Department of Plasma Physics, Umeå University, for financial support.

APPENDIX A: RICCI TENSOR FOR AXISYMMETRY

The inverse of the metric (3.12) has the form

$$[g_{\mathbf{ik}}] = \begin{bmatrix} C & -B & 0 \\ -B & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

with

$$A = \frac{\alpha}{D}, \quad B = \frac{\beta}{D}, \quad C = \frac{\gamma}{D}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

The nontrivial components of field equations (2.5c) become

$$R_{11} = -\frac{k}{r^2}(T_{11}^* - CT_{oo}^*), \quad (\text{A3a})$$

$$R_{12} = -\frac{k}{r^2}(T_{12}^* - BT_{oo}^*) - \frac{1}{4r^2}, \quad (\text{A3b})$$

$$R_{22} = -\frac{k}{r^2}(T_{22}^* - AT_{oo}^*), \quad (\text{A3c})$$

$$R_{33} = -\frac{k}{r^2}(T_{33}^* - \rho^2 T_{oo}^*). \quad (\text{A3d})$$

The components of the Ricci tensor for this metric are

$$\begin{aligned} R_{11} = & -(1/4)\{2[(A+B)(\alpha\gamma - 2\beta^2)\varepsilon + \rho_{,1}\alpha/\rho + \rho_{,2}\beta/\rho]C_{,1} - 4(\gamma_{,1}\varepsilon + \varepsilon_{,1}\gamma)(A+B) + 8\beta AB\gamma\varepsilon^2 \\ & + 4[(\alpha\gamma - \beta^2)C_{,2}/2 + B_{,2}\beta\gamma - \gamma_{,2} - \rho_{,1}\beta/\rho - \rho_{,2}\gamma/\rho + (\alpha\beta - 2\alpha\gamma + 4\beta^2)C\varepsilon]B_{,1} \\ & + [(\alpha\gamma - 2\beta^2)C_{,1} + 2(\beta - 2\gamma)B\gamma\varepsilon + 2B_{,1}\beta\gamma - 2\gamma_{,1} - C_{,2}\beta\gamma - 4A\gamma^2\varepsilon + 2\beta\gamma C\varepsilon - 4\gamma\varepsilon]A_{,1} \\ & - (2B_{,1}\gamma - C_{,1}\beta + C_{,2}\gamma + 2B\gamma\varepsilon + 2\gamma C\varepsilon)A_{,2}\gamma - 2(C_{,2} + 2B\varepsilon + 2C\varepsilon)\gamma_{,2} + 4(2\beta - \gamma)B^2\gamma\varepsilon^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2(C_{,1}\beta^2 - C_{,2}\beta\gamma - 2B\beta\gamma\varepsilon - 2\beta\gamma C\varepsilon + 2\gamma\varepsilon)B_{,2} - 4(\varepsilon_{,2}\gamma + \rho_{,1}\beta\varepsilon/\rho + \rho_{,2}\gamma\varepsilon/\rho)(B + C) \\
& -2(\rho_{,1}\beta/\rho + \rho_{,2}\gamma/\rho + B\beta^2\varepsilon + \beta^2C\varepsilon - \beta\varepsilon + 2\gamma\varepsilon)C_{,2} + (\alpha\gamma - 2\beta^2)(C_{,2})^2 - 4(B + C)^2\alpha\gamma\varepsilon^2 \\
& -2A_{,11}\gamma - (A_{,1})^2\gamma^2 - 4B_{,12}\gamma - 2\beta_{,1}C_{,2} + 2\beta_{,2}C_{,1} - 2C_{,22}\gamma - 4\rho_{,11}/\rho + 4(2B^2 - A^2)\gamma^2\varepsilon^2\},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{12} = & -(1/4)\{2[(\beta B + 2\beta C - 1)\alpha\varepsilon + \alpha\varepsilon + \rho_{,1}\alpha/\rho + \rho_{,2}\beta/\rho - B\beta^2\varepsilon + 2\beta\varepsilon]C_{,2} \\
& + 4[\beta B\alpha\varepsilon - (\alpha\gamma + \beta^2)A\varepsilon - B_{,2}\alpha\gamma + \beta_{,2} - B\beta^2\varepsilon + \beta\varepsilon]B_{,1} \\
& + 2(B + A)\beta C_{,1}\alpha\varepsilon - 2[2(B + C)\alpha\gamma\varepsilon - C_{,1}\alpha\beta + C_{,2}\alpha\gamma - 2\beta\varepsilon]B_{,2} - 8\beta BA\beta\varepsilon^2 \\
& - [2(\beta - \gamma)B\beta\varepsilon + 2B_{,1}\alpha\gamma - 2\beta_{,1} - C_{,1}\alpha\beta - C_{,2}\beta^2 - 2\rho_{,1}\beta/\rho - 2\rho_{,2}\gamma/\rho - 4A\beta\gamma\varepsilon - 4\beta\varepsilon]A_{,1} \\
& + (2B_{,1}\gamma - C_{,1}\beta + C_{,2}\gamma + 2B\gamma\varepsilon + 2\gamma C\varepsilon)A_{,2}\beta + 4(\beta_{,1}\varepsilon + \varepsilon_{,1}\beta + \rho_{,1}\beta\varepsilon/\rho + \rho_{,2}\gamma\varepsilon/\rho)(A + B) \\
& + 2(C_{,2} + 2B\varepsilon + 2C\varepsilon)\beta_{,2} + 4(B^2 + C^2)\alpha\beta\varepsilon^2 + 4(B + C)\varepsilon_{,2}\beta - 8B\beta\varepsilon^2 + 2A_{,11}\beta + (A_{,1})^2\beta\gamma \\
& + 2\alpha_{,1}C_{,2} - 2\alpha_{,2}C_{,1} + 4B_{,12}\beta + 2C_{,22}\beta + (C_{,2})^2\alpha\beta - 4\rho_{,12}/\rho + 4A^2\beta\gamma\varepsilon^2 - 4B\beta^2C\varepsilon^2\},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{22} = & -(1/4)\{2[(4B + 2C)\alpha\beta\varepsilon - C_{,1}\alpha^2 + C_{,2}\alpha\beta - 2\rho_{,1}\alpha/\rho - 2\rho_{,2}\beta/\rho - 2A\alpha\gamma\varepsilon + (\alpha\gamma - \beta^2)A_{,1}]B_{,2} \\
& + 2[-\alpha_{,1} - \beta_{,2} + \alpha B_{,1}\beta - \alpha(C_{,1}\alpha + C_{,2}\beta)/2 - (\rho_{,1}\alpha + \rho_{,2}\beta)/\rho + (A - 2B)(\beta^2 + \alpha\gamma)\varepsilon]A_{,1} \\
& + [(\alpha\gamma - 2\beta^2)(C_{,2} + 2B\varepsilon + 2C\varepsilon) - 2B_{,1}\beta^2 + 2\beta_{,1} + C_{,1}\alpha\beta + 2\rho_{,1}\beta/\rho + 2\rho_{,2}\gamma/\rho]A_{,2} \\
& + 4[(B\beta - 2)\varepsilon + B_{,2}\beta + A\beta\varepsilon]B_{,1}\alpha - 2\beta(B + A)C_{,2}\alpha\varepsilon + 4(2\beta B + \beta C)\alpha B\varepsilon^2 + 8\beta BA\alpha\varepsilon^2 \\
& - 4(B_{,2} + A\varepsilon + B\varepsilon)\alpha_{,1} - 2(C_{,1}\alpha^2\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon_{,1}\alpha + 2\rho_{,1}\alpha\varepsilon/\rho + 2\rho_{,2}\beta\varepsilon/\rho)(A + B) \\
& - 2(C_{,2} + 2B\varepsilon + 2C\varepsilon)\alpha_{,2} + (\alpha\gamma - 2\beta^2)(A_{,1})^2 - 4(B + C)^2\alpha^2\varepsilon^2 \\
& - 4(B + C)\varepsilon_{,2}\alpha - 2A_{,11}\alpha - 4B_{,12}\alpha - 2C_{,22}\alpha - (C_{,2})^2\alpha^2 - 4\rho_{,22}/\rho - 4A^2\alpha\gamma\varepsilon^2\},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{33} = & [(\alpha + 2\beta)\rho_{,1}\varepsilon + \gamma\rho_{,2}\varepsilon + (A_{,1}\gamma - 2B_{,1}\beta + C_{,1}\alpha)(\rho_{,1}\alpha + \rho_{,2}\beta)/2 \\
& + (A_{,2}\gamma - 2B_{,2}\beta + C_{,2}\alpha)(\rho_{,1}\beta + \rho_{,2}\gamma)/2 \\
& + \alpha_{,1}\rho_{,1} + \beta_{,1}\rho_{,2} + \beta_{,2}\rho_{,1} + \gamma_{,2}\rho_{,2} + 2\rho_{,12}\beta + \rho_{,11}\alpha + \rho_{,22}\gamma]\rho,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } R_{13} = R_{23} = 0.$$

APPENDIX B: PERFECT FLUID EQUATIONS

For an axisymmetric three-space, the Ricci tensor has identically vanishing (1,3) and (2,3) components. Hence it follows from the Einstein equations (2.4) that the 4-velocity of the perfect fluid has the form

$$(u_\mu) = (u_o, 0, 0, u_\varphi) .$$

We shall also use the component $u^\varphi = u_\varphi/\rho^2$.

Einstein's equations for a perfect fluid are the condition that the following expressions vanish:

$$E_{00} = (\omega_{,A})^2 r^4 + (\rho r_{,A})_{,A} r \rho - (r_{,A})^2 \rho^2 - e^{2\lambda} k [r \rho^2 (\mu + 3p) + 2(\mu + p) u_\varphi^2], \quad (\text{B1a})$$

$$E_{12} = \omega_{,1} \omega_{,2} r^4 + 2(\lambda_{,1} \rho_{,2} + \lambda_{,2} \rho_{,1}) r^2 \rho - r_{,1} r_{,2} \rho^2 - 2\rho_{,12} r^2 \rho, \quad (\text{B1b})$$

$$E_{33} = r \rho (r^2 \rho^{-1} \omega_{,A})_{,A} + 2k u_\varphi u_o e^{2\lambda} (\mu + p), \quad (\text{B1c})$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_{11} + E_{22} - 2r \rho E_3 \\ &= (\omega_{,A})^2 r^4 + 4\lambda_{,AA} r^2 \rho^2 + (r_{,A})^2 \rho^2 - 4e^{2\lambda} k [r \rho^2 p + (\mu + p) u_\varphi^2], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1d})$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_{11} - E_{22} \\ &= [(\omega_{,1})^2 - (\omega_{,2})^2] r^4 + 4[\lambda_{,1} \rho_{,1} - \lambda_{,2} \rho_{,2}] r^2 \rho \\ &\quad - [(r_{,1})^2 - (r_{,2})^2] \rho^2 - 2[\rho_{,11} - \rho_{,22}] r^2 \rho, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1e})$$

$$E_3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_0^0 + E_3^3 = \rho_{,AA} r - 2e^{2\lambda} \rho k p, \quad (\text{B1f})$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_4 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_0^0 - E_3^3 \\ &= [r^2 \rho^{-1} (\rho^2 r^{-2} - \omega^2)_{,A}]_{,A} - 2k e^{2\lambda} r^{-2} \rho^{-1} (\mu + p) (2r \omega u_o u_\varphi - r \rho^2 - 2u_\varphi^2), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1g})$$

where we assume summation over repeated indices. The equation $E_4 = 0$ is a linear combination of the Eqs. for E_{00} , E_{33} and E_3 .

APPENDIX C: COEFFICIENTS IN Q_α

Below we list the coefficients in the polynomial (4.25), given in Sec. IV C:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= 2\beta^5 k\mu r^2\psi^5 - 3\beta^4 \alpha r\gamma\psi^6 - 5\beta^4 k^2\mu^2 r^3\psi^4 - 4\beta^3 \alpha k\mu r^2\gamma\psi^5 - 4\beta^3 k^3\mu^3 r^4\psi^3 + 3\beta^2 \alpha^2 r\gamma^2\psi^6 \\
&\quad + 10\beta^2 \alpha k^2\mu^2 r^3\gamma\psi^4 + 3\beta^2 k^4\mu^4 r^5\psi^2 + 2\beta \alpha^2 k\mu r^2\gamma^2\psi^5 + 4\beta \alpha k^3\mu^3 r^4\gamma\psi^3 - 6\beta k^5\mu^5 r^6\psi \\
&\quad - 5\alpha^2 k^2\mu^2 r^3\gamma^2\psi^4 - 3\alpha k^4\mu^4 r^5\gamma\psi^2 + 9k^6\mu^6 r^7 + \beta^6 r\psi^6 - \alpha^3 r\gamma^3\psi^6, \\
b_1 &= -\alpha^3 \gamma^3\psi^6 - 8\beta^4 k^2\mu^2 r^2\psi^5 - 3\beta^4 k^2\mu^2 r^2\psi^4 - 8\beta^3 k^3\mu^3 r^3\psi^4 - 6\beta^3 k^3\mu^3 r^3\psi^3 + 2\beta^2 \alpha^2 \gamma^2\psi^6 \\
&\quad + 16\beta^2 \alpha k^2\mu^2 r^2\gamma\psi^5 + 8\beta^2 \alpha k^2\mu^2 r^2\gamma\psi^4 + 16\beta^2 k^4\mu^4 r^4\psi^3 + 6\beta^2 k^4\mu^4 r^4\psi^2 + 8\beta \alpha k^3\mu^3 r^3\gamma\psi^4 \\
&\quad + 6\beta \alpha k^3\mu^3 r^3\gamma\psi^3 - 8\beta k^5\mu^5 r^5\psi^2 + 18\beta k^5\mu^5 r^5\psi - 8\alpha^2 k^2\mu^2 r^2\gamma^2\psi^5 - 5\alpha^2 k^2\mu^2 r^2\gamma^2\psi^4 \\
&\quad - 16\alpha k^4\mu^4 r^4\gamma\psi^3 - 11\alpha k^4\mu^4 r^4\gamma\psi^2 + 24k^6\mu^6 r^6\psi + 9k^6\mu^6 r^6 - \beta^4 \alpha \gamma\psi^6, \\
c_1 &= 4\beta^2 \alpha k^2\mu^2 r\gamma\psi^5 + 4\beta^2 \alpha k^2\mu^2 r\gamma\psi^4 + 16\beta^2 k^4\mu^4 r^3\psi^4 + 12\beta^2 k^4\mu^4 r^3\psi^3 + 4\beta \alpha k^3\mu^3 r^2\gamma\psi^3 \\
&\quad + 24\beta k^5\mu^5 r^4\psi^2 - 4\alpha^2 k^2\mu^2 r\gamma^2\psi^5 - 4\alpha^2 k^2\mu^2 r\gamma^2\psi^4 - 16\alpha k^4\mu^4 r^3\gamma\psi^4 - 8\alpha k^4\mu^4 r^3\gamma\psi^3 \\
&\quad + 16k^6\mu^6 r^5\psi^2 + 12k^6\mu^6 r^5\psi, \\
a_2 &= 72\beta \alpha k^4\mu^4 r^6\gamma\psi^2 - 92\beta^2 \alpha^2 k\mu r^3\gamma^2\psi^5 - 160\beta^2 \alpha k^3\mu^3 r^5\gamma\psi^3 - 36\beta^2 k^5\mu^5 r^7\psi \\
&\quad + 24\beta \alpha^2 k^2\mu^2 r^4\gamma^2\psi^4 + 28\alpha^3 k\mu r^3\gamma^3\psi^5 + 40\alpha^2 k^3\mu^3 r^5\gamma^2\psi^3 + 60\alpha k^5\mu^5 r^7\gamma\psi \\
&\quad - 36\beta^6 k\mu r^3\psi^5 + 24\beta^5 k^2\mu^2 r^4\psi^4 + 100\beta^4 \alpha k\mu r^3\gamma\psi^5 + 120\beta^4 k^3\mu^3 r^5\psi^3 \\
&\quad - 48\beta^3 \alpha k^2\mu^2 r^4\gamma\psi^4 - 72\beta^3 k^4\mu^4 r^6\psi^2, \\
b_2 &= -46\beta^3 \alpha k^2\mu^2 r^3\gamma\psi^4 - 96\beta^3 k^4\mu^4 r^5\psi^3 - 15\beta^3 k^4\mu^4 r^5\psi^2 + 64\alpha k^5\mu^5 r^6\gamma\psi + 24\beta^4 \alpha k\mu r^2\gamma\psi^5 \\
&\quad + 192\beta^4 k^3\mu^3 r^4\psi^4 + 36\beta^4 k^3\mu^3 r^4\psi^3 + \beta^3 \alpha^2 r\gamma^2\psi^6 + \beta \alpha^3 r\gamma^3\psi^6 + 3\beta^7 r\psi^6 - 48\beta^2 \alpha^2 k\mu r^2\gamma^2\psi^5 \\
&\quad - 352\beta^2 \alpha k^3\mu^3 r^4\gamma\psi^4 - 192\beta^2 k^5\mu^5 r^6\psi^2 - 124\beta^2 \alpha k^3\mu^3 r^4\gamma\psi^3 - 108\beta^2 k^5\mu^5 r^6\psi \\
&\quad + 43\beta \alpha^2 k^2\mu^2 r^3\gamma^2\psi^4 + 24\alpha^3 k\mu r^2\gamma^3\psi^5 + 96\beta \alpha k^4\mu^4 r^5\gamma\psi^3 + 3\beta \alpha k^4\mu^4 r^5\gamma\psi^2 - 63\beta k^6\mu^6 r^7 \\
&\quad - 5\beta^5 \alpha r\gamma\psi^6 + 3\beta^5 k^2\mu^2 r^3\psi^4 + 160\alpha^2 k^3\mu^3 r^4\gamma^2\psi^4 + 88\alpha^2 k^3\mu^3 r^4\gamma^2\psi^3 + 224\alpha k^5\mu^5 r^6\gamma\psi^2, \\
c_2 &= -4\beta^2 \alpha^2 k\mu r\gamma^2\psi^5 - 80\beta^2 \alpha k^3\mu^3 r^3\gamma\psi^4 - 64\beta^2 \alpha k^3\mu^3 r^3\gamma\psi^3 - 192\beta^2 k^5\mu^5 r^5\psi^3 \\
&\quad - 144\beta^2 k^5\mu^5 r^5\psi^2 - 2\beta \alpha^3 \gamma^3\psi^6 + 4\beta \alpha^2 k^2\mu^2 r^2\gamma^2\psi^5 + 32\beta \alpha^2 k^2\mu^2 r^2\gamma^2\psi^4 \\
&\quad + 32\beta \alpha k^4\mu^4 r^4\gamma\psi^3 - 38\beta \alpha k^4\mu^4 r^4\gamma\psi^2 - 84\beta k^6\mu^6 r^6\psi + 2\alpha^3 k\mu r\gamma^3\psi^5 + 80\alpha^2 k^3\mu^3 r^3\gamma^2\psi^4 \\
&\quad + 64\alpha^2 k^3\mu^3 r^3\gamma^2\psi^3 + 192\alpha k^5\mu^5 r^5\gamma\psi^3 + 112\alpha k^5\mu^5 r^5\gamma\psi^2 - 10\alpha k^5\mu^5 r^5\gamma\psi - 12\beta^5 k^2\mu^2 r^2\psi^5
\end{aligned}$$

$$-2\beta^5\alpha\gamma\psi^6 + 2\beta^4\alpha k\mu r\gamma\psi^5 + 4\beta^3\alpha^2\gamma^2\psi^6 + 8\beta^3\alpha k^2\mu^2r^2\gamma\psi^5 \\ -32\beta^3\alpha k^2\mu^2r^2\gamma\psi^4 - 48\beta^3k^4\mu^4r^4\psi^3 \quad ,$$

$$a_3 = -24\beta^3k^4\mu^4r^6 + 8\beta^7r^2\psi^4 + 16\beta^6k\mu r^3\psi^3 - 24\beta^5\alpha r^2\gamma\psi^4 - 16\beta^5k^2\mu^2r^4\psi^2 \\ -48\beta^4\alpha k\mu r^3\gamma\psi^3 + 16\beta^4k^3\mu^3r^5\psi + 24\beta^3\alpha^2r^2\gamma^2\psi^4 + 32\beta^3\alpha k^2\mu^2r^4\gamma\psi^2 \\ +48\beta^2\alpha^2k\mu r^3\gamma^2\psi^3 - 32\beta^2\alpha k^3\mu^3r^5\gamma\psi - 8\beta\alpha^3r^2\gamma^3\psi^4 - 16\beta\alpha^2k^2\mu^2r^4\gamma^2\psi^2 \\ +24\beta\alpha k^4\mu^4r^6\gamma - 16\alpha^3k\mu r^3\gamma^3\psi^3 + 16\alpha^2k^3\mu^3r^5\gamma^2\psi \quad ,$$

$$b_3 = 40\beta^3\alpha^2r\gamma^2\psi^4 - 20\beta^5\alpha r\gamma\psi^4 - 32\beta^5k^2\mu^2r^3\psi^3 - 24\beta^5k^2\mu^2r^3\psi^2 - 48\beta^4k^3\mu^3r^4\psi \\ -16\alpha^2k^3\mu^3r^4\gamma^2\psi + 64\beta^3\alpha k^2\mu^2r^3\gamma\psi^3 + 40\beta^3\alpha k^2\mu^2r^3\gamma\psi^2 - 32\beta^3k^4\mu^4r^5\psi \\ -24\beta^3k^4\mu^4r^5 + 64\beta^2\alpha k^3\mu^3r^4\gamma\psi - 20\beta\alpha^3r\gamma^3\psi^4 - 32\beta\alpha^2k^2\mu^2r^3\gamma^2\psi^3 \\ -16\beta\alpha^2k^2\mu^2r^3\gamma^2\psi^2 + 32\beta\alpha k^4\mu^4r^5\gamma\psi + 36\beta\alpha k^4\mu^4r^5\gamma \quad ,$$

$$c_3 = -7\beta^5\alpha\gamma\psi^4 + 8\beta^4\alpha k\mu r\gamma\psi^3 + 14\beta^3\alpha^2\gamma^2\psi^4 + 16\beta^3\alpha k^2\mu^2r^2\gamma\psi^3 + 34\beta^3\alpha k^2\mu^2r^2\gamma\psi^2 \\ -8\beta^2\alpha^2k\mu r\gamma^2\psi^3 + 24\beta^2\alpha k^3\mu^3r^3\gamma\psi - 7\beta\alpha^3\gamma^3\psi^4 - 16\beta\alpha^2k^2\mu^2r^2\gamma^2\psi^3 \\ -34\beta\alpha^2k^2\mu^2r^2\gamma^2\psi^2 + 16\beta\alpha k^4\mu^4r^4\gamma\psi + 5\beta\alpha k^4\mu^4r^4\gamma \quad ,$$

$$a_4 = 8\beta^7r\psi^6 - 36\beta^6k\mu r^2\psi^5 - 24\beta^5\alpha r\gamma\psi^6 + 120\beta^5k^2\mu^2r^3\psi^4 + 100\beta^4\alpha k\mu r^2\gamma\psi^5 \\ +72\beta^4k^3\mu^3r^4\psi^3 + 24\beta^3\alpha^2r\gamma^2\psi^6 - 240\beta^3\alpha k^2\mu^2r^3\gamma\psi^4 - 176\beta^3k^4\mu^4r^5\psi^2 \\ -92\beta^2\alpha^2k\mu r^2\gamma^2\psi^5 - 192\beta^2\alpha k^3\mu^3r^4\gamma\psi^3 + 12\beta^2k^5\mu^5r^6\psi - 8\beta\alpha^3r\gamma^3\psi^6 \\ +120\beta\alpha^2k^2\mu^2r^3\gamma^2\psi^4 + 176\beta\alpha k^4\mu^4r^5\gamma\psi^2 + 28\alpha^3k\mu r^2\gamma^3\psi^5 \\ +120\alpha^2k^3\mu^3r^4\gamma^2\psi^3 + 12\alpha k^5\mu^5r^6\gamma\psi \quad ,$$

$$b_4 = 3\beta^7\psi^6 - 144\beta^2k^5\mu^5r^5\psi - 63\beta k^6\mu^6r^6 - 12\beta^6k\mu r\psi^5 + 256\beta^4k^3\mu^3r^3\psi^4 - 21\beta^5k^2\mu^2r^2\psi^4 \\ -12\beta^4k^3\mu^3r^3\psi^3 - 87\beta^3k^4\mu^4r^4\psi^2 - 4\beta^2\alpha^2k\mu r\gamma^2\psi^5 - 480\beta^2\alpha k^3\mu^3r^3\gamma\psi^4 \\ -92\beta^2\alpha k^3\mu^3r^3\gamma\psi^3 - 128\beta^2k^5\mu^5r^5\psi^2 + 17\beta\alpha^3\gamma^3\psi^6 - 32\beta\alpha^2k^2\mu^2r^2\gamma^2\psi^5 \\ +75\beta\alpha^2k^2\mu^2r^2\gamma^2\psi^4 + 320\beta\alpha k^4\mu^4r^4\gamma\psi^3 + 115\beta\alpha k^4\mu^4r^4\gamma\psi^2 + 28\alpha^3k\mu r\gamma^3\psi^5$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +224 \alpha^2 k^3 \mu^3 r^3 \gamma^2 \psi^4 + 104 \alpha^2 k^3 \mu^3 r^3 \gamma^2 \psi^3 + 160 \alpha k^5 \mu^5 r^5 \gamma \psi^2 + 44 \alpha k^5 \mu^5 r^5 \gamma \psi \\
& -32 \beta^5 k^2 \mu^2 r^2 \psi^5 + 11 \beta^5 \alpha \gamma \psi^6 - 12 \beta^4 \alpha k \mu r \gamma \psi^5 - 31 \beta^3 \alpha^2 \gamma^2 \psi^6 \\
& +64 \beta^3 \alpha k^2 \mu^2 r^2 \gamma \psi^5 - 54 \beta^3 \alpha k^2 \mu^2 r^2 \gamma \psi^4 - 320 \beta^3 k^4 \mu^4 r^4 \psi^3 \quad , \\
c_4 = & -12 \beta^5 k^2 \mu^2 r \psi^5 - 14 \beta^4 \alpha k \mu \gamma \psi^5 - 120 \beta^3 \alpha k^2 \mu^2 r \gamma \psi^5 - 80 \beta^3 \alpha k^2 \mu^2 r \gamma \psi^4 - 48 \beta^3 k^4 \mu^4 r^3 \psi^3 \\
& +12 \beta^2 \alpha^2 k \mu \gamma^2 \psi^5 - 80 \beta^2 \alpha k^3 \mu^3 r^2 \gamma \psi^4 - 104 \beta^2 \alpha k^3 \mu^3 r^2 \gamma \psi^3 - 192 \beta^2 k^5 \mu^5 r^4 \psi^3 \\
& -144 \beta^2 k^5 \mu^5 r^4 \psi^2 + 132 \beta \alpha^2 k^2 \mu^2 r \gamma^2 \psi^5 + 112 \beta \alpha^2 k^2 \mu^2 r \gamma^2 \psi^4 - 48 \beta \alpha k^4 \mu^4 r^3 \gamma \psi^2 \\
& -84 \beta k^6 \mu^6 r^5 \psi + 2 \alpha^3 k \mu \gamma^3 \psi^5 + 80 \alpha^2 k^3 \mu^3 r^2 \gamma^2 \psi^4 + 64 \alpha^2 k^3 \mu^3 r^2 \gamma^2 \psi^3 \\
& +192 \alpha k^5 \mu^5 r^4 \gamma \psi^3 + 112 \alpha k^5 \mu^5 r^4 \gamma \psi^2 - 10 \alpha k^5 \mu^5 r^4 \gamma \psi \quad .
\end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Kramer, H. Stephani, E. Herlt and M. MacCallum, *Exact solutions of Einstein's field equations* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1980).
- [2] F.J. Chinea, Class. Quantum Grav. **10**, 2539 (1993).
- [3] F.J. Chinea and L.M. González-Romero, Class. Quantum Grav. **9**, 1271 (1992).
- [4] G. Neugebauer and R. Meinel, Astrophys. J. **414**, L97 (1993).
- [5] Z. Perjés, in *Quantum Gravity 3*, edited by M.A. Markov (World Scientific, Singapore, 1985).
- [6] Z. Perjés, J. Math. Phys. **11**, 3383 (1970). In accordance with this paper, we adopt Eisenhart's conventions for the curvature quantities: $v_{i;jk} - v_{i;kj} = R^\ell_{ijk} v_\ell$ and $R_{ik} = R^j_i{}^j{}_k$.
- [7] W. Simon, Gen. Rel. Grav. **16**, 465 (1984).
- [8] D. Kramer, Class. Quantum Grav. **2**, L135 (1985).
- [9] K. S. Thorne, in *General Relativity and Cosmology*, edited by R.K. Sachs (Academic Press, New York, 1971), p. 248.
- [10] H. Stephani and R. Grosso, in *Relativity Today*, edited by Z. Perjés (Nova Science Publishers, New York Inc., 1992).
- [11] A. Abramowicz, Acta Astronomica **21**, 81 (1971).